UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,569	05/17/2006	Carsten Pabst	R.306941	5499
2119 RONALD E. G	7590 10/06/200 REIGG	EXAMINER		
	EIGG P.L.L.C.	JACOBS, TODD D		
ALEXANDRIA	TAN STREET, UNIT (A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/579,569	PABST ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TODD D. JACOBS	3746	

	TODD D. JACOBS	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a constant.	sideration and/or search (see NOT и); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s).	 wable if submitted in a separate, t 〕 will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11,13,14,16-18,20,22,26 and 28-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746			

Note for 7: Applicant amended to overcome U.S.C. 112 rejections and are entered because the previous rejection is not affected or changed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the combination of Willmann/Nakazawa is invalid. However, examiner disagrees. Nakazawa would teach one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wilmann to have two cams on different planes to move different pumping systems (rather than one cam moving two). This gives advantages and is combined in such a way that is discussed in the previous office action. Note also that applicant argues that the previous rejection could not be a final rejection. However, examiner disagrees because every claim other than claim 11 is dependent upon claim 11 and claim 11 was significantly amended.